Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/678,266	XI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	David A. Zarneke	2891
All Participants:	Status of Application	:
(1) <u>David A. Zarneke</u> .	(3)	
(2) Keith Tackett.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>31 May 2006</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	olicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sumit 	The examiner will provide a attempt the record of the substance of	written summary of the substance f the interview, since the interview
Mark Holes		
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The attached supplemental examiner's amendment was discussed. It was noted that the amendment filed 8/19/03 could not be entered into the record because the application was under abandonment at that time. This examiner's amendment enters those changes to the claims into record.